sufficiently brave scale in the gallery ke space, a zone al connections both al. Ducking under pended elements, e of performing a applied by the artist, ne-skin inkling of e Spero, to be a o be human, sub-

## nat Girl

Lisa Yuskavage Boesky & Callery Fine Arts 51 Greene Street Through November 16

sisa Yuskavage's paintings of female fleshiness have been getting people's goats of late. The women in them are nightmarish caricatures of zaftig sexuality, butts and boobs exaggerated—half inflatable sex dolls, half fertility idols. With their bizarre, masklike faces poking out of theatrically atmospheric grounds, and names like Wee Asspicker, it's tempting to stamp them misogynist and be done with it. Considering the harsh criticism John Currin has received for his busty gals, could Yuskavage's license as a fleshy

woman let her get away with it? When people react to these paintings as an assault. it may have to do with the paintings' Rorshachian ability to reveal hidden truths about the viewer. Regarding sexuality, we are two. We have our highly evolved cerebral selves that have digested Judith Butler and that analytically and maturely work through issues of sexuality. And we have our lower, reptilian brains, where nasty fantasies and

puerile emotions hide. That is where Yuskavage gets us; straight guys see themselves revealed as drooling doggie--dicks by her interpretation of their fantasies, gay guys as potential vaginaphobes. Women gay and straight come face to face with the way their fleshiness looks in the mirror after a hard night, and the breast-augmen tation troops are confronted

by silicon boobs returning as nightmares. Yuskavage defies neat pigeonholing. She treats her handcrafted sex-bomb models, displayed here as white Hydrocal sculptures, with affection and identification. And she has the painting chops to back it up, overwhelming us with a rush of Douglas Sirk colors. I've never been able to convince Yuskavage haters (and there are many) of the value of her work, but I always wonder what secret nerve of theirs she hits. We all have bodies and we all have libidos.

BILL ARNING

fe that blend outnner urgencies. are a couple of vast rom ceilings and ints glued to walls ero has raised her cent years by serv-oing, too often teand-scale installacan be a stalemate l architecture and nerely busy, bland s, as well, lack a flatrident to function e too mannered to nything else

neir inevitable surinstream instituopose terms. One cus on the crux of vement: dramatiing ideas that re-ional form, if only to give them his-done more than e patrimony of esthetics. She has of nd splayed it from g graphic space to nd burning plea-pecial pleading is

50